

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,204		03/22/2004	Yutaka Adegawa	Q80610	1126
23373	7590	02/06/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUI			TRUONG, DUC		
2100 PENN SUITE 800	SYLVAN	NIA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DO	20037	1711		
				D	

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>(</i> 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/805,204	ADEGAWA, YUTAKA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Duc Truong	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the set of the set of the set of the maximum statutory perions of the set of the	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ To 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 6 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of	ccepted or b) objected to by the objected to by the objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is considerable.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition Noved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail ( 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

In response to the restriction requirement dated June 29, 2005, Applicants elect to prosecute formula (Y-1) for each of Y1 and Y2, and the fifth compound in the first row of the compounds listed in claim 3 for each of [Ar1] and [Ar2], without traverse in that claims 1,2,4,5,6 and 8-10 are read on the elected species.

In the response to the last Office action, Applicants is correct in stating that the references do not disclose the claimed formula (Y-1). Therefore, the search is further expanded to non-elected species such as (Y-3) in that claims 1,3,4-5, and 7-10 are read on the elected species.

Claims 1,3-5, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lau '421 or "733, both of record on 1449.

The references disclose poly(arylene ether) compositions that form low dielectric constant, low moisture absorbing and high glass transition temperature dielectric films (see col. 1, scope of the invention).

The references further disclose repeating units of the structure in Formula I (see col. 2, line 55 et seq. of '421; col. 3, line 5 et seq. of '733) in that if Y1 of '421 or Y of '733 is the first structure at col. 3 of '421 or '733; Ar1 is the first structure at col. 6 of '421 or the third structure from the bottom of col. 5, and m in the claimed structure is =0, then the repeating units of the references is read on that of the instant claims.

On the other hand, since Y1=Y2 and Ar1=Ar2, then the repeating structure with n unit and the repeating structure with m unit are identical, to form –[0-Y1-O-Ar1]-m+n in that m+n=100% of the repeating unit.

Art Unit: 1711

The disclosures of the references differ from the instant claims in that they neither disclose the upper two benzene rings in the repeating unit (Y-3) are substituted by the aromatic ring containing monovalent hydrocarbon groups Rar1 and Rar2 nor the claimed characteristics such as "decomposes under heat at 250 C to 450 C or through UV irradiation or through electron beam irradiation to generate gas, as in claim 8.

However, the references do disclose similar reactants in that the hydrocarbon groups Rar1 and Rar2 do not effect the mechanism to form the same or similar products. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select Y-3 from the references within the limitation of the instant claims, to omit Rar1 and Rar2 from Y-3 and its function and employed the composition as claimed since the omission of an element and loss of its function from a combination is obvious in the absence of a showing of unexpected result following from such omission. See In re Wilson, 153 USPQ 740 (CCPA 1967), Ex parte Rainu, 168 USPQ 375.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,204 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

\*\*\*